

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/010,937                   | 11/13/2001  | Pedro S. Baranda     | OT-4986;60,469-054      | 5631             |
| 7590 12/09/2003              |             | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
| David J. Gaskey              |             |                      | CHARLES, MARCUS         |                  |
| CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. |             |                      |                         |                  |
| Suite 350                    |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 400 Wes Maple Road           |             |                      | 3682                    |                  |
| Birmingham, MI 48009         |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/09/2003 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   |   | į |
|--|---|---|---|
| :  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |   |
| 0655   | 10/010,937  | BARANDA ET AL.  |   |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |
|  | Marcus Charles  | 3682  |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply  | ppears on the cover sheet w   | ith the correspondence address  |   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  | I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become Al   | reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).                            |   |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03  | October 2003.   |   |   |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th   | is action is non-final.   |   |   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde  |   |   | , |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |   |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>   | rawn from consideration.  |   |   |
| Application Papers   |   |   |   |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami   | ner.  |   |   |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a   | ccepted or b) objected to   | by the Examiner.  |   |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |   |   |   |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn  |   | • •   |   |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | Examiner. Note the attache  | 1 Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   | 0.440(.) (1) (2)  |   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language priority.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of | ents have been received. Ents have been received in Actionity documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Ents of the certified copies not stic priority under 35 U.S.C. first sentence of the specific provisional application has bestic priority under 35 U.S.C. | received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet. een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific |   |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |   |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) 🔲 Notice of I  | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 10/010,937 Page 2

"Art Unit: 3682

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to the amendment filed 10-03-2003, which has been entered. Claims 1-27 are currently pending.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 9-12, 15-16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by WO (01-14630). WO (01-14630) discloses an elevator belt (22) comprising a plurality of cords (30, 28) aligned parallel to the longitudinal axis; a jacket (26) over the cords, the jacket includes a generally smooth surface. Note each cord is spaced apart and have different sizes composed of different material. Therefore, it is apparent that the cords are maintained individually. It is also apparent that the cords extend along the entire length of the belt and parallel with the longitudinal axis of the belt.

In claim 11, note the cords are positioned within the jacket such that the spacing between the cords are continuous along the length of the belt.

In claim 12, note the jacket include facings that are continuously uninterrupted.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,937

Art Unit: 3682

Regarding claims 1-4, 8-9, 15-16 and 20, it is apparent that the method and process steps would be inherently included during the manufacturing of WO (01-14630) device.

3. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by O'donnell et al. O'donnell et al. discloses an elevator belt (26) comprising a plurality cords (40) that are generally parallel to the longitudinal axis of the belt, waxless polyurethane jacket over the cords, wherein the jacket includes a smooth uninterrupted exterior surface.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5-7, 14 and 17-18, 21-23, 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO (01-14630) in view of O'donnell et al. (US2003/0024770) WO (01-14630) discloses the claimed invention above, except for the jacket is waxless urethane or polyurethane jacket. O'donnell et al. discloses an elevator belt comprises a waxless urethane or polyurethane jacket in order to ensure better friction characteristic. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the jacket of WO (01-14630) with the waxless urethane or polyurethane jacket of O'donnell et al. in order to ensure better friction characteristic.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/010,937 Page 4

"Art Unit: 3682

In claim 27, it is well known to cool the molding device with fluid

Regarding claims 5-7, 15-16 and 22-23, it is apparent that the method and process steps would be inherently included during the manufacturing of WO (01-14630) device.

6. Claims 13, 19 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO (01-14630, applicants prior art) in view of JP(63-214538). WO (01-14630) does not disclose that the jacket is made from polyurethane. JP(63-214538) discloses a belt jacket made from polyurethane in order to improved bending resistance and reduces noise during operation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the belt of WO(01-14630) so that the jacket is made from polyurethane in view of JP(63-214538) in order to improved bending resistance and noise during use.

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. The indicated allowability of claims 5-8, 14 and 17-18 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to O'donnell et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

#### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 10-03-2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,937

'Art Unit: 3682

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (703) 305-6877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 7:30 am-600 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Marcus Charles
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3682

November 21, 2003

Page 5